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During the past few years, the development of effective, empirical technologies for treatment of cardiac arrhythmias has exceeded the pace at which detailed knowledge of the underlying biology has accumulated. As a result, although some clinical arrhythmias can be cured with techniques such as catheter ablation, drug treatment and prediction of the risk of sudden death remain fairly primitive. The identification of key candidate genes for monogenic arrhythmia syndromes shows that to bring basic biology to the clinic is a powerful approach. Increasingly sophisticated experimental models and methods of measurement, including stem cell-based models of human cardiac arrhythmias, are being deployed to study how perturbations in several biologic pathways can result in an arrhythmia-prone heart. The biology of arrhythmia is largely quantifiable, which allows for systematic analysis that could transform treatment strategies that are often still empirical into management based on molecular evidence.

**Introduction**

Effective treatment is now available for many arrhythmias. Devices and sophisticated catheters, together with computerised-mapping systems that allow for ablation therapy, have brought some remarkable advances. Such technologies have transformed clinical electrophysiology into one of the most rapidly expanding cardiology subspecialties. Pacemakers are the accepted standard of care for those with bradycardia, and if facilities are available, patients with Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome or similar arrhythmias should be referred for ablation. Many complex atrial and ventricular arrhythmias are also amenable to potential cure with these approaches. These successes are all causes for celebration.

However, knowledge of the underlying biology has not kept up with technical developments, and major questions about clinical management remain. First, although we know some of the general factors that predispose to arrhythmias, the precision of our analysis is not always sufficient to justify prophylaxis or intervention. Second, if we want to suppress arrhythmia not amenable to ablation, we have few options. The range of available drugs has scarcely expanded in the past 30 years, and available drug treatments have proarrhythmic risk, other toxic effects, low tolerability, and variable (although never better than modest) efficacy. The generally poor outcomes with contemporary drug therapy are understandable, since most agents were developed in the absence of molecular targets and without precise understanding of the mechanisms of proarrhythmic and antiarrhythmic drug actions. Finally, we are incapable of accurately identifying those at risk of sudden cardiac death (which is almost always caused by arrhythmia), which leaves the effective—but crude and expensive—option of implantable cardioverter defibrillators as the only viable choice for many. Thus, although some parts of contemporary arrhythmia care can be successful and provide seemingly definitive solutions, others will be seen in retrospect as fairly primitive.

However, recent developments suggest that much arrhythmia biology is tractable. Advances in the relevant genetics and genomics, and the availability of new model systems are encouraging. The emerging picture is one of many molecular perturbations that come together and interact in individuals to generate arrhythmia-prone hearts, expressed through the phenotypic variability familiar to clinicians. In this Series paper, we review, in a necessarily selective way, the present state of arrhythmia biology, with a focus on general principles. We also provide a description of how the necessary translation from experimental findings to effective, individualised clinical advances can be delivered.

**Defining arrhythmia phenotypes**

Elucidation of the underlying mechanisms of the heart rhythm is intuitively appealing, since many features are amenable to quantification. Fundamental descriptors, such as rate and rhythm, or the patterns of myocardial conduction and repolarisation, can all be measured fairly straightforwardly, and with reasonable precision, at essentially every biological level. As such, in preclinical settings the relations between genetic variants, cellular and biophysical outputs, and integrated heart function have been reported. In population studies, the epidemiology of arrhythmias has advanced greatly and is likely to become even more sophisticated once phenotypic categories are more...
strictly defined and the underlying genetics and genomics are better understood.4,11

However, substantial limitations remain. Symptoms of individuals with arrhythmias are widely divergent and their effects are highly variable.29 Most of us could be wholly unaware of an occasional upset of normal heart rhythm, whereas others with fairly minor, benign arrhythmias have very severe symptoms. The causes of such differences in perception are unclear, but these differences preclude the use of symptoms alone for assessment.29 Additionally, by their very nature many arrhythmias are paroxysmal and seemingly sporadic. The inability to observe symptoms at the time of each occurrence also adds to a blurring of clinical phenotypes. If an arrhythmia occurs when a patient is not being observed then the precise nature of their problem, and the putative underlying mechanism, will remain obscure, and treatment will necessarily be empirical. Advances in monitoring will hopefully allow the heart rhythm to be observed continuously.30 Wide application of such technical advances would allow for phenotypic boundaries to be more strictly defined, and would enhance our knowledge of the natural history of arrhythmia and the design of clinical trials.

Systematic approaches to cardiac arrhythmias

The definition of systems biology remains contentious and is a subject of continued discussion.31 For practical purposes, we define systems biology as an analytical framework that is characterised by integrated descriptions of several biological processes that are based on systematic measurements.6,9,10 Applied to medicine, the approach necessitates as a starting point large, high-quality datasets that describe the phenotypes and natural history of a disease, in this case cardiac arrhythmia. If necessary, revised diagnostic categories might be used to describe specific entities more precisely.15,18 These data are combined with results of genetic, genomic, or other molecular analyses and complemented with work from experimental systems, ideally of human origin, which can model functional consequences.7,8,14,15 The properties of such models can then be examined in response to either potentially deleterious changes or interventions (such as drugs) intended to rescue the disease phenotype.26,27 Logistical issues arise because of the large amounts of data that are generated by such a systems approach, which require computational models to elucidate the underlying network architecture.4,10,12,24,25 Nevertheless, such strategies allow the heartbeat to be characterised in both health and disease. Such work should mean that, in due course, knowledge gaps will be closed, which will allow for clinical advances and new capabilities for risk stratification and identification of drug targets (figure 1).30,31 The needs are urgent, since arrhythmias have such a substantial effect on morbidity and mortality (figure 2).4,11,27–31

Population-based risk of atrial fibrillation and sudden cardiac death

Atrial fibrillation is the most common sustained arrhythmia. It is age-related, with a life-time risk estimated at 25% for a 40-year-old person.32 The most serious chronic disease sequelae of atrial fibrillation include stroke, heart failure, and dementia—all of which have devastating effects on an individual’s health and high costs for families and society.14 Two broad categories of atrial fibrillation are seen in clinical settings. One is a small group of usually comparatively young patients, who often have a family history of the disease and might also have a history of high-intensity exercise.14 However, most patients belong to the second group of usually older individuals, the numbers of whom are growing.27,28 Although the prevalence of atrial fibrillation in the USA is currently estimated to be in the range of 2·7–6·1 million, it is expected to rise substantially to an estimated 16 million by 2050.28,31 This upward trend has also been reported elsewhere (figure 2A).27

The increased incidence is driven partly by ageing populations, but other factors are also implicated.14 Although hypertension remains the most well described risk, metabolic factors also play a part.28,31 For example, investigators of the Framingham Heart Study estimated that obesity was associated with a 50% increase in risk of atrial fibrillation (figure 2B).29 In Olmsted County, MN, USA, obesity might account for about 60% of the enhanced, age-adjusted and sex-adjusted increase in incidence.28 Furthermore, investigators of the Women’s Health Study documented a linear association between body-mass index and atrial fibrillation and also reported that short-term increases in body mass contributed substantially to risk of the arrhythmia.30 Although some of the effects of obesity might be haemodynamic (eg, through impaired ventricular relaxation or atrial stretch), more direct metabolic effects seem likely.20,31 Diabetes is also independently associated with atrial fibrillation (figure 2C).30 Additionally, comparative epidemiological

Figure 3: A systems approach to analysis of arrhythmia susceptibility

A major objective of a systems approach to arrhythmia susceptibility is to develop a new taxonomy that is clinically useful and that integrates multiparametric data. Clinical and genetic inputs are used in conjunction with appropriately designed mechanistic studies in clinical and model systems. Iterative computer-based modelling provides an architectural framework that leads to improved taxonomy and allows for tailoring of medical treatment (precision medicine).
The genetic characterisation of long QT syndrome and its subtypes has provided a framework for much
arrhythmia research.47–49 Disease gene products have been subject to wide-ranging laboratory investigation, including molecular modelling40 and generation of genetically modified animals54,55 and cellular models. Such models include those that use human cardiomyocytes derived from induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells.26–28 Clinical studies have emphasised variable penetrance; gene carriage can occur without a concomitant electrocardiogram (ECG) phenotype,50 so the disorders are more prevalent than previously realised.40 Variable penetrance, which substantially reflects contributions by modifier genes, can result in strikingly diverse phenotypes—eg, QT intervals that range from 406 to 676 ms in a South African long QT syndrome founder population that harbours variant Ala341Val in KCNQ1.45 The term monogenic should therefore be used with this complexity in mind, since other variants beyond those that cause the disease are likely to contribute to clinical phenotypes.46

Identification of long QT syndrome disease genes provided an impetus for the detailed descriptions of other disorders characterised by familial sudden cardiac death, with each associated with particular phenotypic features.43–45 These disorders include Brugada syndrome, catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT), short QT syndrome, some forms of early repolarisation, and various cardiomyopathies—all of which share some common features with long QT syndromes. For example, a baseline, possibly unstable, ECG phenotype might exist, families are often small so linkage analysis is not usually possible, and genes are usually autosomal dominant but with widely variable penetrance.47–49 These features and others have caused difficulties for the implication of specific genetic variants in the generation of clinical phenotypes.47 The application of next-generation resequencing of candidate genes and exome sequencing could provide some resolution.50 Intermediate phenotypes have started to be described with such approaches. For example, the complementary application of single-nucleotide polymorphism genotyping and whole-gene sequencing implicated the previously unidentified arrhythmia susceptibility gene MYH6 in sinus node disease.51

Molecular understanding of long QT syndrome has undoubtedly been important for the management of individual patients and has facilitated family screening.52 For example, gene-specific disease behaviour, natural history, and treatment preferences for long QT syndrome were recognised soon after the initial gene variant identification. More recently, mutant-specific ion-channel characteristics have been reported and proposed as a means to guide therapeutic choices.53,54 That environmental factors can trigger the first expression of disease in later life has been widely recognised. One important example is drug-induced (acquired) long QT syndrome, a disorder that has had a major effect on drug development and regulation.55–57 5–15% of affected individuals with long QT syndrome that appeared for the first time after exposure to an implicated drug have plausible disease-causing variants in relevant candidate genes,68 and high-density single-nucleotide polymorphism approaches have started to provide evidence of common susceptibility variants, such as KCNE1 Asp85Asn.59 The monogenic ion-channel diseases therefore provide a framework that shows how knowledge of the molecular basis of disease can be used to rationalise management.52 However, the most important effect for integrated heart-rhythm management might have been on how we regard the biology that underlies more complex arrhythmias.

Genetics of population-based risk

Electrocardiography remains the essential diagnostic and phenotypic method for classification of disorders of the heartbeat. Changes of rate and rhythm and variations in the morphology of ECG complexes are all relevant to a full description of an individual’s phenotype. Morphological variants might suggest acquired disease or the presence of an ion-channel disease.50,51 ECGs are rich in readily quantifiable data and are widely available from well characterised populations, so, perhaps unsurprisingly, they provided an attractive target for genome-wide association studies intended to identify the genetic determinants of cardiac conduction and repolarisation (figure 3).30–32

Genome-wide association has been used to assess the causes of variability in normal range QT intervals and in atrioventricular conduction (as revealed in the PR interval of the ECG) and intraventricular conduction (QRS duration).53 In each case, variants in several loci have been implicated, some are in known candidate genes, whereas others are in genes or pathways not previously implicated in cardiac electrophysiology.54 Such studies identify loci at which DNA polymorphisms contribute to variability in a trait, but the specific causative polymorphisms are not usually identified. For the PR interval of the ECG, polymorphisms in SCN5A (which encodes the major sodium channel isofrom in heart) and in unanticipated candidates such as SCN10A (which encodes another sodium channel), TBX5 (which encodes a T-box transcription factor), and CAV1 (which encodes caveolin 1, a scaffolding protein) have been implicated.55,56 Such polymorphisms provide new starting points for the elucidation of gene networks that control both normal electrophysiology and arrhythmia susceptibility.57 Epidemiological research had already identified the PR interval as a potential intermediate phenotype for atrial fibrillation, and candidate genetic determinants of the PR interval might also predispose individuals to the arrhythmia.58 These results from genome-wide studies are broadly reproducible, and have also been seen in unselected patients whose characteristics have been recorded in electronic medical records.59
Results of twin and family studies have previously suggested a substantial genetic contribution to QRS duration, with heritability estimates of up to 40%. Genomic association studies have now identified 22 loci associated with QRS duration, with SCN10A unexpectedly represented (figure 3B, 3C). A genome-wide association study of the QT interval has similarly implicated several genetic loci, with some
overlap with PR and QRS determinants (eg, SCN5A) and some others independent of these (such as NOS1AP, a nitric oxide synthase subunit); variants in NOS1AP have been implicated as modulators of the congenital long QT syndrome clinical phenotype and of risk of sudden cardiac death in populations. More generally, variability in PR, QRS, and QT has been associated with arrhythmia risk in population studies. Genes that modulate these traits are therefore expected to have variants that also modulate risk of arrhythmia and sudden cardiac death.

**Genetics of atrial fibrillation and sudden cardiac death**

The familial occurrence of atrial fibrillation is well established; having an affected parent doubles an individual’s risk. Ion-channel genes are strongly implicated in some families, and patients with ion-channel diseases have an increased risk of atrial fibrillation. However, the clinical heterogeneity of atrial fibrillation has made the investigation of underlying genetics difficult. Whereas in some, atrial fibrillation is continuous and readily observed, in others it is transient, with acute triggers, and can regress or even not be detected at all. As such, strict definition of affected and unaffected people in families or populations can be problematic. However, despite such practical difficulties, susceptibility alleles have been identified, the first of which was reported to be at chromosomal location 4q25 (figure 3A). Genetic sequence variants at that site have been strongly associated with atrial fibrillation in several populations, with the main implicated candidate gene being PITX2 (figure 3A).

PITX2 isoform c (PITX2c) is a homeobox transcription factor involved in assignment of laterality and in the development of the pulmonary myocardium that is implicated in the differentiation of the muscular sleeves around pulmonary veins. Although primary causal involvement of PITX2c is not proven, since Pitx2c−/− mice have inducible atrial fibrillation and PITX2 seems to be downregulated in patients with atrial fibrillation, the gene clearly could be functionally important. Both candidate-gene approaches and genome-wide association studies have identified other candidate genes, the importance of which remain largely unknown in this context (figure 3A).

Familial susceptibility to sudden cardiac death is well established, even in those people who have underlying coronary artery disease. For example, the Paris Prospective Study followed the course of 1746 asymptomatic middle-aged men for up to 23 years; individuals both of whose parents had died suddenly had a nine times greater risk of sudden cardiac death than the mean. Several potentially relevant genetic variants have been proposed. Variants of slight effect size at chromosomal location 2q21 modulate risk of ventricular fibrillation immediately after myocardial infarction, and variants in genes previously implicated in ion-channel diseases are associated with a broad-based risk of sudden cardiac death. A common variant at chromosomal location 9p21 (robustly linked to myocardial infarction, but in a region devoid of protein-coding genes) might have an independent effect on risk. However, up to now, common genetic variants seem, perhaps unsurprisingly, unable to predict which of those patients with implantable cardioverter defibrillators are at risk of ventricular fibrillation episodes.

Despite such substantial advances, variants identified in genome-wide association studies account for only a small proportion of the risk of atrial fibrillation and sudden cardiac death. The so-called missing heritability could be accounted for by rarer variants with larger effect size or by interactions between several genetic variants and environmental factors. High-throughput parallel sequencing of coding regions (exomes) or entire genomes in large populations are potential approaches for the investigation of these possibilities.

**Conventional physiological principles and models**

A systems approach to assessment of emerging genetic data necessitates their incorporation into physiological models (figures 1, 4). In the conventional conceptual view of cardiac arrhythmogenesis, a triggering beat (or beats) interacts with a predisposed cardiac muscle (the substrate). Heterogeneities in excitability and refractoriness are the essential features of most substrates.

The interaction between trigger and substrate causes initiation and perpetuation of arrhythmias. We are generally protected because the substrate, even in diseased hearts, is resistant to a breakdown in the normal sequence of activation. As such, only a small proportion of the ectopic beats (potential triggers) recorded by continuous electrocardiography will lead to sustained arrhythmia. Experimental models also strongly support the view that in well coupled cardiac tissue, the heart is protected from the consequences of sporadic depolarisations that might otherwise lead to sustained arrhythmia.

The arrhythmogenic substrate might have been acquired in those with coronary disease, inflammatory disorders, or idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy, but genetic factors almost always contribute. Although various sophisticated methods for imaging, physiological investigation, and omics techniques are becoming available and are applicable to the analysis of substrates, a major limitation is the availability of human tissue. As such, our understanding about how human arrhythmia substrates develop against a background of genetic, epigenetic, and other factors is fairly basic, and most experimental physiology has relied on work done in animals.
Afterdepolarisations are membrane depolarisations that occur late in or after the completion of the action potential. Delayed afterdepolarisations (DADs) occur after full repolarisation and early afterdepolarisations (EADs) during late repolarisation. (B) Circus-movement re-entry is characterised by an activation pattern that travels along a preferred anatomical structure to reactivate previously excited tissue. Such re-entry is dependent on unidirectional block and is supported by slow conduction and short refractory periods. (C) Acute termination of atrial fibrillation (AF) to sinus rhythm by FIRM (focal impulse and rotor modulation) ablation. Left: left-atrial rotor with counter-clockwise activation (red to blue) and disorganised right atrium during AF in a 60-year-old man. Right: FIRM ablation at left-atrial rotor terminated AF to sinus rhythm in less than 1 min, with ablation artifact recorded at centre of rotor. The patient is AF-free on implanted cardiac monitor after more than 1 year. (D) AF-induced changes (remodelling) that promote AF.82 Work done in paced goats provides strong evidence for an evolution of the substrate. In control goats, high-frequency burst pacing induced only 5 s of AF, whereas after 24 h of artificially maintained AF the duration increased to 20 s. After 2 weeks, episodes of fibrillation last more than 24 h. (A) is reproduced from reference 81, by permission of Elsevier; (B) is reproduced from reference 36, by permission of Elsevier; (C) is reproduced from reference 81, by permission of Elsevier; (D) is reproduced from reference 82, by permission of Wolters Kluwer Health. CS=coronary sinus.

**Mechanisms of atrial fibrillation**

Issues of initiation and perpetuation have attracted particular attention in atrial fibrillation because of their clinical relevance. Two principal hypotheses have been advanced to account for the behaviour of clinical arrhythmia.8,14 The multiple wavelet hypothesis, which is based on mapping in dogs and patients, holds that atrial fibrillation is based on mapping in dogs and patients, holds that several, non-localised interacting circuits meander through large circuits of excitable tissue are the cause of several well defined, acquired clinical disorders, including atrial flutter and many ventricular tachycardias.8,14 Fixed re-entrant circuits that result in anatomical re-entry might also be mainly congenital and genetic (eg, Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome). The functional characteristics of these macro-re-entrant circuits have to allow for unidirectional block, and they will incorporate areas of slowed conduction, changed refractoriness, and an excitable gap, and the circuit can be entrained (figure 4B). In addition to such anatomically defined circuits, re-entrant excitation can also use functional circuits—ie, circuits that are not anatomically defined but arise (often transiently) because of other perturbations. These functional circuits can result in patterns such as spirals or scrolls, the location of which might meander through the heart with successive depolarisations.8,14,90 Much work now lends support to the suggestion that such spiral-wave re-entry has a role in both atrial and ventricular fibrillation (figure 4C).8,90

Essentially, once an arrhythmia has been triggered, its course within a substrate is likely to conform to a re-entrant pattern of activation that can have a stable course, or be less stable and so take the form of a spiral or scroll wave.8,14,90 Restitution describes the rate-dependent interaction between the trigger and the substrate and helps to account for transitions between rapid, relatively benign rhythms and fibrillation.8,90

---

**Figure 4: Conventional physiological mechanisms of arrhythmia**

(A) Afterdepolarisations are membrane depolarisations that occur late in or after the completion of the action potential. Delayed afterdepolarisations (DADs) occur after full repolarisation and early afterdepolarisations (EADs) during late repolarisation. (B) Circus-movement re-entry is characterised by an activation pattern that travels along a preferred anatomical structure to reactivate previously excited tissue. Such re-entry is dependent on unidirectional block and is supported by slow conduction and short refractory periods. (C) Acute termination of atrial fibrillation (AF) to sinus rhythm by FIRM (focal impulse and rotor modulation) ablation. Left: left-atrial rotor with counter-clockwise activation (red to blue) and disorganised right atrium during AF in a 60-year-old man. Right: FIRM ablation at left-atrial rotor terminated AF to sinus rhythm in less than 1 min, with ablation artifact recorded at centre of rotor. The patient is AF-free on implanted cardiac monitor after more than 1 year. The demonstration of spiral waves and their functional importance in human AF potentially provides a widely applicable rational approach to AF ablation. (D) AF-induced changes (remodelling) that promote AF. Work done in paced goats provides strong evidence for an evolution of the substrate. In control goats, high-frequency burst pacing induced only 5 s of AF, whereas after 24 h of artificially maintained AF the duration increased to 20 s. After 2 weeks, episodes of fibrillation last more than 24 h. (A) is reproduced from reference 81, by permission of the American Society for Clinical Investigation, (B) is reproduced from reference 36, by permission of Elsevier; (C) is reproduced from reference 81, by permission of Elsevier; (D) is reproduced from reference 82, by permission of Wolters Kluwer Health. CS=coronary sinus.
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**Figure 4 (continued): Conventional physiological mechanisms of arrhythmia**

(A) Afterdepolarisations are membrane depolarisations that occur late in or after the completion of the action potential. Delayed afterdepolarisations (DADs) occur after full repolarisation and early afterdepolarisations (EADs) during late repolarisation. (B) Circus-movement re-entry is characterised by an activation pattern that travels along a preferred anatomical structure to reactivate previously excited tissue. Such re-entry is dependent on unidirectional block and is supported by slow conduction and short refractory periods. (C) Acute termination of atrial fibrillation (AF) to sinus rhythm by FIRM (focal impulse and rotor modulation) ablation. Left: left-atrial rotor with counter-clockwise activation (red to blue) and disorganised right atrium during AF in a 60-year-old man. Right: FIRM ablation at left-atrial rotor terminated AF to sinus rhythm in less than 1 min, with ablation artifact recorded at centre of rotor. The patient is AF-free on implanted cardiac monitor after more than 1 year.81 The demonstration of spiral waves and their functional importance in human AF potentially provides a widely applicable rational approach to AF ablation. (D) AF-induced changes (remodelling) that promote AF.82 Work done in paced goats provides strong evidence for an evolution of the substrate. In control goats, high-frequency burst pacing induced only 5 s of AF, whereas after 24 h of artificially maintained AF the duration increased to 20 s. After 2 weeks, episodes of fibrillation last more than 24 h. (A) is reproduced from reference 81, by permission of Elsevier; (C) is reproduced from reference 81, by permission of Elsevier; (D) is reproduced from reference 82, by permission of Wolters Kluwer Health. CS=coronary sinus.

---

**Mechanisms of atrial fibrillation**

Issues of initiation and perpetuation have attracted particular attention in atrial fibrillation because of their clinical relevance.8,14 Two principal hypotheses have been advanced to account for the behaviour of clinical arrhythmia.8,14 The multiple wavelet hypothesis, which is based on mapping in dogs and patients, holds that several, non-localised interacting circuits meander around the atria.8,14 Alternatively, the localised source hypothesis proposes that rapidly conducted beats (eg, from focal, delayed afterdepolarisation-mediated sources or electrical spiral waves [rotors]) interact with
an amenable substrate. Since the pulmonary veins were first implicated, localized sources have come to be regarded as of increased importance. The direct clinical relevance of localized sources has been lent support not only by the efficacy of pulmonary vein isolation in many patients, but also, more recently, by the apparently effective targeted ablation of spiral waves (figure 4C).

Atrial fibrillation substrates change with time. Initially, the cardiac substrate is thought to be characterized by shortened action potentials and abbreviated refractoriness. Later, in many patients, structural changes will ensue, with scarring and fibrosis. This temporal evolution is described as remodelling, and during this process the relative contributions of functional and anatomical features of the substrate to arrhythmia will change. Remodelling shows wide variation between individuals, which is governed by many factors, including genetic factors, individual behaviour, and treatment. Rapid rates undoubtedly promote remodelling and have been used to generate atrial fibrillation-prone animals (figure 4D). However, the underlying biology of remodelling remains poorly understood, but is of great interest and seems likely to account for much of the clinical variability of atrial fibrillation. Some patients progress from infrequent atrial fibrillation. Some patients progress from infrequent

Substantial experimental evidence suggests that the interaction between the trigger and substrate in atrial fibrillation is governed by the principles we have described. Accordingly, the importance of the pulmonary veins in most patients is universally accepted, and these provide the trigger for initiation of the arrhythmia. The substrate is receptive to the emerging trigger, with steep restitution reported around the pulmonary veins. Additionally, exaggerated shortening of refractoriness in the left atrium and the patterns of left-atrial ion-channel expression further promote wave break and the initiation of re-entrant excitation (clinically manifest as atrial fibrillation).

Tractable model systems

Computer models of heart function that incorporate equations to describe activity of individual ion channels or transporters are well established. However, collection of high-quality data from the full range of relevant animal species to populate the models has been difficult. Ideally, these data should include descriptions of the function, expression, interactions, and metabolic status of individual proteins. Because human tissue is difficult to obtain, heterologous expression of ion channels in non-human cells with complementary studies in wild type and genetically modified animals have had to suffice for detailed functional studies.

Genetically modified mouse models have provided one research mainstay to link human genetic variants to arrhythmogenic phenotypes. Advances in cell biology now allow the opportunity to study electrophysiology in human cardiomyocytes derived from iPS cells. These cells are difficult to obtain reliably and can have a fairly immature phenotype, but they do have sarcomeric structures, ion-channel profiles, calcium handling, and drug responses that broadly conform to observations made clinically and in conventional cells. Models reported so far include those of some subtypes of long QT syndrome and CPVT (figure 5).

Developing new treatments

An important aim of the development of disease models is to allow responses to therapeutic interventions to be assessed. New models, combined with other features of a systems approach, might well identify molecules the targeting of which could be antiarrhythmic. These insights might come from family or population genetic approaches, or from laboratory studies of proarrhythmic signalling pathways. The potential relevance of individual targets could then be assessed in wild-type or

Figure 5: Induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cell models of ion-channel diseases

(A) iPS-cell models of long QT syndromes and catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT) have been established (figure shows representative electrocardiograms of each). Refs 44,45 (B) Steps 1–2: fibroblasts and other somatic cells can be reprogrammed to become iPS cells after transduction with retroviral vectors that express four transcription factors (POU5F1 [also known as OCT4], SOX2, KLF4, and MYC). Refs 46,47 Step 3: directed differentiation into cardiomyocytes provides cellular models for physiological assessment. Cardiomyocytes from patients with long QT syndrome 1 (LQT1) that harbour an Arg190Gln variant in KCNQ1 recapitulate features of clinical phenotypes (C), with prolonged action potentials, defective potassium-channel characteristics, and inducible arrhythmias attenuated by β-adrenergic blockade. Similar characteristics are seen with cells from LQT2 patients—the Ala614Val variant in KCNH2 (D) shows early afterdepolarizations (EADs) and triggered activity. Typical action potentials from a ventricular myocyte obtained from a patient with CPVT that carried a Ser406Leu variant in RYR2 (E) show 5 paced beats (arrows) followed by delayed afterdepolarisations (DADs) and triggered activity (TA). C (C) is reproduced from reference 43, by permission of the Massachusetts Medical Society; (D) is reproduced from reference 44, by permission of Nature Publishing Group; (E) is reproduced from reference 20, by permission of John Wiley and Sons.
genetically modified organisms or in iPS cell-derived cardiomyocytes. Encouragingly, mouse models have already been used to provide evidence for drug repurposing. For example, flecainide (a nominal class IC sodium-channel blocker) has been shown to directly block ryanodine-receptor mediated calcium release. Flecainide was then reported to be effective in arrhythmia suppression in both calsequestrin bi-allelic knockout (Casq2−/−) mouse models of CPVT and in a subsequent clinical study into CPVT, which has provided clinicians with a much needed therapeutic option. In similar Casq2−/− mutant mice, carvedilol and its engineered analogues also suppressed both spontaneous and induced arrhythmia. Additionally, in human iPSC-cell models, well established interventions (e.g. propranolol and nifedipine) rescued arrhythmia phenotypes in long QT syndrome 1 and 2, respectively. Similarly, dantrolene rescued the phenotype of CPVT (figure 5). The use of iPSC cell technology to screen non-cardiac drugs to address potential toxicity is also appealing. In addition to experimental approaches, computational models have been used to describe drug-tissue interactions, which allow for predictions of efficacy and risk.

Conclusions
Systematic approaches to human disease are at an early stage, but they offer the possibility of refined diagnosis, risk prediction, and targeted treatment decisions (figure 1). We believe that cardiac arrhythmias are especially amenable to a systems biology approach, partly because of the range of measurable determinants. Next-generation sequencing, exploitation of stem cell-based models, and new uses of omics technologies are starting to provide tangible evidence of what can be achieved. The use of these experimental technologies in combination with holistic, network-based approaches can be used to find rational solutions to many of the unresolved questions in arrhythmia management.
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